VIRGINIA COMMONWEALTH UNIVERSITY AND SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

FACULTY PROMOTION AND TENURE POLICY AND PROCEDURES (REVISED 2014)

(ADDITIONAL REVISIONS APPROVED AFTER FACULTY VOTE 2021)

Revision approved by School of Medicine and University Promotion and Tenure Policy Review Committee Effective July 1, 2014

Index

| 1.0 | | Objectives and Authority | 4 |
|-----|-------|---|-----|
| | 1.1 | Goal | 4 |
| | 1.2 | Objectives | 5 |
| | 1.3 | Relationship of Schools and Departments to University Promotion and Tenure Policy | 5 |
| | 1.4 | Appointing Authority | 6 |
| 2.0 | | y Ranks and Appointments | 6 |
| | 2.1 | General Criteria and Criteria Definitions for Tenured, Tenure-eligible, and Term (non- | - 6 |
| | | tenure) Faculty Members | 7 |
| | | 2.1.1 Application of Criteria and Criteria Ratings for Tenured and Tenure-eligible Faculty | 7 |
| | | 2.1.2 Application of Criteria and Criteria Ratings for Promotion for Term (Non-tenure) Faculty | 8 |
| | 2.2 | Departmental and School Criteria for Tenured, Tenure-eligible and Term (Non-tenure) Faculty Members | 8 |
| | | 2.2.1 School of Medicine Criteria: General | 9 |
| | | 2.2.2 School of Medicine Criteria By Academic Rank: Tenure, Tenure-Eligible, | 10 |
| | | and Term (non-tenure) | 10 |
| 3.0 | | ng Appointments | 13 |
| | 3.1 | Tenured Appointments | 16 |
| | 3.2 | Probationary (Tenure-Eligible) Appointments | 16 |
| | | 3.2.1 Alterations of the Probationary Period | 17 |
| | | 3.2.2 Extensions of the Initially Agreed Upon Probationary Period | 18 |
| | | 3.2.3 Evaluation of Probation for Tenure-Eligible Faculty | 18 |
| | | 3.2.4 Linkage | 19 |
| | 3.3 | Transition between Tenure Track Positions and Term Appointments | 19 |
| | 3.4 | Continuing Review of Faculty | 20 |
| | 3.5 | Honorary Titles | 20 |
| | 3.6 | Administrative Titles | 20 |
| | 3.7 | Notice of Appointments | 20 |
| | 3.8 | Joint Appointments with Non-University Agencies | 21 |
| 4.0 | Unive | rsity Promotion and Tenure Policy Review Committee | 21 |
| | 4.1 | Committee Composition | 21 |
| | 4.2 | Committee Duties | 22 |
| 5.0 | Schoo | l Promotion and Tenure Committee | 22 |
| | 5.1 | Committee Election and Term of Office | 22 |
| | | 5.1.1 School of Medicine Promotion and Tenure Review Committee | 23 |
| 6.0 | Unive | rsity Appeal Committee | 23 |
| | 6.1 | Committee Composition | 23 |
| | 6.2 | Committee Duties | 24 |
| | 6.3 | Committee Training | 24 |
| 7.0 | Acade | mic Review Procedures for Promotion and Tenure | 24 |
| | 7.1 | Promotion and Tenure Initiated at the Departmental Level | 24 |
| | | 7.1.1 Peer Evaluation | 25 |
| | | 7.1.2 Department Chair | 28 |
| | | 7.1.3 School Promotion and Tenure Committee Evaluation | 28 |
| | 7.2 | Promotion and Tenure Initiated at the School Level | 28 |
| | | 7.2.1 Peer Evaluation | 29 |
| | | 7.2.2 School Promotion and Tenure Committee Evaluation | 30 |

Index

| 8.0 | Admir | nistrative Review Procedures for Academic Personnel Actions | 30 |
|-------|---------|---|----|
| | 8.1 | The Dean | 30 |
| | 8.2 | The Provost and the Vice President for Health Sciences | 30 |
| 9.0 | Appea | 1 Process | 31 |
| | 9.1 | Grounds for Appeal | 31 |
| | 9.2 | Appeal Process | 31 |
| 10.0 | The Pr | resident and Board of Visitors | 32 |
| 11.0 | Proced | lure for Termination of Employment of Tenured Faculty Members | 33 |
| | 11.1 | Reasons for Dismissal | 33 |
| | 11.2 | Post-Tenure Review | 34 |
| | | 11.2.1 Post-Tenure Review Panel | 34 |
| | | 11.2.2 Assessment of Annual Evaluation | 35 |
| | | 11.2.3 Improvement Plan | 35 |
| | | 11.2.4 Assessing the Improvement Plan | 35 |
| | 11.3 | Dismissal for Cause Procedures | 36 |
| | 11.4 | Dismissal for Financial Emergency, Reorganization and Termination of Programs | 37 |
| | 11.5 | Compensation | 37 |
| 12.0 | Proced | lures for Review and Amendment of this Document | 37 |
| Appen | dix I | Timetable for Promotion and Tenure Activities | 39 |
| Appen | dix II | Flowchart for Promotion and Tenure Activities | 40 |
| Appen | dix III | Tables with Examples of Accomplishments to be Considered for | |
| | | Promotion and Tenure in Each Category | 40 |
| | | Table 1: Scholarship | 41 |
| | | Table 2: Teaching | 42 |
| | | Table 3: Service | 44 |
| Appen | | Teaching Portfolio | 46 |
| Appen | dix V | References | 46 |
| | | | |

FACULTY PROMOTION AND TENURE POLICY AND PROCEDURES

VIRGINIA COMMONWEALTH UNIVERSITY AND SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

SOM policies in italics

1.0 GOAL, OBJECTIVES AND AUTHORITY

1.1 Goal

Excellence is the original and continuing goal of Virginia Commonwealth University (VCU). A prerequisite of this goal is the recruitment and retention of a distinguished faculty. This requires the appointment, promotion and tenure of a faculty in a way that encourages excellence in the creation, dissemination and application of new knowledge and artistic expression and fosters an atmosphere of free inquiry and innovation in a global setting.

Appointment, promotion and tenure are based on the merit of the individual, consideration of comparable achievement in the faculty member's particular field, and the faculty member's value to the mission, needs and resources of the university. Central to the appointment, promotion and tenure process is VCU's commitment to recognize and reward faculty members who help fulfill the mission and vision of the university.

Recognized and rewarded contributions include those made to the intellectual and academic success of a diverse student body through teaching; to research and discovery that advances knowledge, inspires creativity and improves human health through scholarship; and to the global engagement of students, faculty, and staff that transforms lives and communities through service in support of the university's mission.

Faculty members may be recognized and rewarded for academic work conducted in an integrated way by using their research to inform their teaching; using their service and teaching as sources of ideas for their research; and using their teaching as opportunities to provide service to the community, as well as to foster student learning.

Promotion in rank reflects quality of performance in appropriate teaching, scholarship and service as noted above. Tenure shows the university's continuing commitment to the faculty member, whose position shall not be terminated without adequate reason. The promotion and tenure system at VCU is designed to foster:

- Academic freedom of thought, teaching, learning, inquiry and expression
- Fair and equitable treatment for all individuals
- Appropriate participation by the faculty, the student body, the administration, and

- the Board of Visitors
- A normal succession and infusion of new faculty members.

1.2 Objectives

The objectives of the system described in this document are:

- Promotion of an engaged, learner-centered environment that fosters inquiry, discovery and innovation in a global setting
- Faculty achievement to the highest attainable degree within the context and resources of the university
- Support of university goals and support of the diverse missions and characteristics of its individual academic units
- Commitment to administrative management which provides for fair and reasonable allocation of time and resources
- Assurance of the financial integrity of the institution
- Sufficient flexibility to permit modifications of programs, curricula and academic organizational units to meet changing academic, institutional and societal needs.

1.3 Relationship of Schools and Departments to University Promotion and Tenure Policy

Each school¹ and each department of a school where recommendations for academic appointments are initiated shall establish written guidelines for promotion and tenure. The policies and procedures for granting expedited promotion and tenure shall also be established at the unit level. Unit guidelines shall be consistent with the University-wide policies in this document, but shall also specify the details involved in meeting the particular goals and objectives of those units.

Promotion in rank and tenure are considered initiated wherever the budgetary and signature authority for Personnel Actions Forms resides. If promotion and tenure are initiated only at the school level, guidelines shall be written only for the school. If promotion and tenure are initiated at the departmental level, guidelines shall be written for both the department and the school. The guidelines for the procedures and criteria for a given department of a school may be identical to the guidelines of that school.

Guidelines shall define tenured and term (non-tenure) faculty positions and the relationship of the unit's promotion and tenure system to the unit's work plan and individual faculty work plans developed in accordance with the Faculty Roles and

Rewards Policy.² The guidelines of each school and each department must be consistent with university policy but shall include procedural variations, composition of committees and criteria for promotion and tenure relative to the unit's mission. The guidelines shall include specific measures for evaluating faculty performance.

The guidelines for all departments and/or schools shall be formulated and reviewed periodically by a committee of the department and/or school. The faculty shall elect the committee members, and the committee members shall be open to faculty recommendations. A majority vote of the faculty shall be required for the approval of all unit guidelines.

1.4 Appointing Authority

Promotion and tenure of the faculty are made under the ultimate authority and with the final approval of the Board of Visitors.

The president is authorized to recommend faculty promotions and conferral of tenure to the Board of Visitors according to the procedures set forth in this document.

2.0 FACULTY RANKS AND APPOINTMENTS

The document applies to the university faculty appointments at the ranks of professor, associate professor, assistant professor and instructor. All faculty appointments shall be either tenured, probationary (tenure-eligible), term (non-tenure), or adjunct (non-tenure). Section 3.0 defines these types of appointments.

2.1 General Criteria and Criteria Definitions for Tenured, Tenure-eligible, and Term (non-tenure) Faculty Members

In order to ensure distinction in learning, research, scholarly pursuits and creative expression, and service, the following criteria shall apply in the evaluation of all tenured and tenure-eligible faculty members for promotion and tenure. For faculty members holding term (non-tenure) faculty appointments, the criteria shall be applied in the evaluation for promotion as appropriate to the individual faculty member's special mix of duties. All faculty members' work plans are developed in accordance with the Faculty Roles and Rewards policy. Faculty members holding administrative positions must meet the guidelines of their own academic unit. General criteria include:

- 1. Appropriate credentials and experience.
- 2. Demonstrated continuing scholarship and professional growth. Faculty members should be continuously engaged in productive and creative scholarly activity in areas relevant to the goals and mission of their academic unit. They should make a substantive contribution to the body of knowledge in their discipline that reflects high standards of quality in creativity, scholarship and professional competence. They should demonstrate

leadership and professional competence in independent scholarship and/or collaborative research that leads to the creation of new knowledge or creative expression. Scholarship can be in the form of research and discovery scholarship, the scholarship of teaching and learning, or community-engaged research. Research and discovery scholarship breaks new ground in the discipline and answers significant questions in the discipline. Scholarship of teaching and learning includes applied research regarding various pedagogies, student learning, and assessment practices; development and dissemination of materials for use in teaching beyond one's own classroom. Community-engaged research is a collaborative process between the researcher and community partner at all stages of the research process. Examples are community-based participatory and action research.

- 3. Demonstrated quality in teaching. Teaching shall be evaluated based primarily upon the impact of the faculty member's teaching in programs relevant to the mission of their academic unit. Faculty members must demonstrate mastery of their subject matter and at communicating this understanding to student learners; most fundamentally, faculty members should demonstrate that their students learn. There should be evidence of the candidate's sustained commitment to classroom instruction, to inclusion of advising and availability to students as a component of teaching, to sustained effectiveness as a contributor to the intellectual development of students through devices such as course design, course material, curriculum development, and attention to other mechanisms of enhancing student learning. Mentoring, and other forms of beneficial interactions between the candidate and learners, may be given appropriate weight as a part of the teaching criteria as determined by the academic unit. Demonstrated quality of teaching may include community-engaged teaching that connects students and faculty members with activities that address community-identified needs through mutually beneficial partnerships that deepen students' academic and civic learning. Examples are servicelearning courses or service-learning clinical practice.
- 4. Demonstrated performance in service. Faculty members are expected to give of their time and expertise for the betterment of their department, school and university, their profession and/or the broader community. Service includes engaging in the application of learning and discovery to improve the human condition and support the public good at home and abroad. Demonstrated performance in service may include community-engaged service, which is the application of one's professional expertise to address a community-identified need and to support the goals and mission of the university and the community partner.

2.1.1 Application of Criteria and Criteria Ratings for Tenured and Tenure-eligible Faculty

Faculty member performance with respect to teaching, scholarship, and service shall be rated (in descending order) as excellent, very good, satisfactory, or unsatisfactory. Credentials and experience shall be rated as satisfactory or unsatisfactory. All written reports and evaluations of tenure and tenure-eligible faculty performance ratings shall use this terminology.

Appointment or promotion to assistant professor shall indicate the candidate can be expected to perform satisfactorily all required academic duties and holds promise for further professional development.

Appointment or promotion to associate professor requires a minimum rating of excellent in either scholarship or teaching and a rating of very good in the other of these two categories. Candidates also must achieve a minimum rating of satisfactory in service. Candidates must be effective researchers and teachers and show a pattern of accomplishment in scholarship that indicates progress toward a national or international reputation in their discipline.

Appointment or promotion to professor requires a minimum rating of excellent in either scholarship or teaching and a rating of very good in the other of these two categories. Candidates also must achieve a minimum rating of very good in service. Candidates must be effective researchers and teachers and demonstrate a pattern of distinguished accomplishment in scholarship that indicates achievement of a national or international reputation in their discipline.

2.1.2 Application of Criteria and Criteria Ratings for Promotion for Term (Nontenure) Faculty

Each unit with term (non-tenure) faculty appointments shall provide written guidelines for promotion of term (non-tenure) faculty. The criteria and definitions of criteria as specified in 2.1 shall apply to term (non-tenure) faculty to the extent that the criteria and definitions are consistent with that faculty member's special mix of duties. The guidelines shall address how a term (non-tenure) faculty member's effort shall be weighted by the special mix of duties assigned to faculty members holding these appointments. The guidelines shall also specify the voting rights of the faculty regarding a recommendation to promote or renew these faculty appointments.

2.2 Departmental and School Criteria for Tenured, Tenure-eligible and Term (Nontenure) Faculty Members.

- The detailed school and/or department guidelines shall amplify the general criteria of the university.
- Additional special criteria unique to a given school and/or department are encouraged.
- The individual school and/or departmental guidelines shall designate the relative importance of the scholarship, teaching, and service criteria and define what they mean by ratings of excellent, very good, satisfactory, and unsatisfactory in each area.
- These guidelines shall be approved by the unit's faculty and thereafter provided to all new faculty members and filed with the school and provost's office and the

University Promotion & Tenure Policy Review Committee.

Each department of the School of Medicine (SOM) is expected to develop and sustain an outstanding faculty actively engaged in teaching, scholarship, and service. Where departmental guidelines have been created, they may amplify but not contradict this document.

2.2.1 School of Medicine Criteria: General

Promotion in the SOM is dependent upon continuing achievement in teaching, scholarly activity, and service. Faculty should receive written guidelines from their department chair that delineate the proportion of their efforts in each of these areas. Chairs and faculty should review the allocation of the faculty member's effort at least annually, in writing, and submit these documents to the departmental peer review committee with the promotion and tenure materials. In addition, all faculty are expected to adhere to standards of professionalism as outlined in the SOM and Health System policies and procedures.

a. Academic Credentials and Experience

The candidate must hold a terminal degree in an appropriate discipline, plus such postgraduate training as to satisfy specialty board eligibility, where such training is appropriate.

b. Teaching

Teaching includes formal lectures, small-group education and one-to-one instruction as well as teaching through the internet, websites, and other technology. The development, implementation, and assessment of new teaching materials, course designs, and new technologies to promote learning are important contributions to teaching. Teaching may occur in the SOM, health system, community, through professional organizations, and in other settings The candidate should demonstrate the ability to mentor or lead students to think purposefully and critically, to broaden the student's interest, to seek out innovative techniques where appropriate, and to transmit knowledge effectively to students, staff, patients, professionals, and the public.

c. Scholarly Activity

This includes peer-reviewed contributions in basic, clinical and/or educational scholarship. Scholarship may also be in the form of community-engaged research. The SOM recognizes the expanded definition of scholarship proposed by Boyer and colleagues that includes:

- The scholarship of **discovery** that advances new knowledge in a discipline.
- The scholarship of **integration** that makes connections between new knowledge across disciplines such as translational and interdisciplinary research.
- The scholarship of **application** which takes the outcome of new knowledge to socially consequential problems such as outcomes research, clinical guidelines, diagnostic or treatment response criteria, and the creation of new or innovative quality improvement programs or methods based on the outcomes of empirical research.
- The scholarship of **teaching and learning** which explores ways to help students acquire new knowledge and develops specific skills and attitudes such as the creation or evaluation of new

teaching methods or curriculum and the use of new technologies to improve learning or the dissemination of learning

(Boyer EL. Scholarship Reconsidered. The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching, 1990)

Both quantity and quality should be assessed to achieve a balanced evaluation and all possible evidence of scholarly activities and capabilities should be sought, including published materials in refereed journals as well as unpublished manuscripts accepted for publication. Any pending patent application approved by the University shall be given appropriate credit.

d. Service

Clinical Activities

Faculty with health care responsibilities shall be evaluated for excellence in the care of patients, and contributions to improvements in systems of care as measured by the quality, quantity, collaboration, innovation, as well as diagnostic and/or therapeutic expertise. The impact of the faculty member's contributions will be documented by evaluations from professional colleagues within and without the institution, students, housestaff, and patients. Attitude toward patients shall be evaluated by inquiries of clinical peers as well as patient satisfaction questionnaires, if available.

General Service

The quantity and quality of the candidate's service to the department, SOM, health system, university, local community (in ways related to the candidate's academic position), profession, professional organizations, and academic and scientific communities, both regionally and nationally, should be carefully assessed. Membership on key committees in the SOM or health system such as the MCV Physicians Board, Institutional Review Board (IRB), SOM Admissions Committee, Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) are examples of excellent service.

e. Timetable for Promotion and Tenure Decision Activities (See Appendix I).

2.2.2 School of Medicine Criteria By Academic Rank: Tenure, Tenure-Eligible, and Term (non-tenure)

a. Instructor

An individual who has attained the basic degree in his or her discipline or has completed board eligibility requirements but who has had little or no academic experience may be appointed.

b. Assistant Professor

For promotion to this rank, a candidate should have:

(1) the highest appropriate degree in a specific discipline or field

- (2) demonstrated professional competence in a specific discipline or field
- (3) demonstrated potential for teaching, service, and scholarship. Review by a departmental committee is not required for promotion from instructor to assistant professor. The chair must submit the candidate's curriculum vitae, bibliography, faculty data sheet, and documentation that all of the requirements listed above have been met satisfactorily to the dean.

c. Associate Professor

(1) General

The performance of the candidate should indicate a sustained record of professional achievements in teaching, scholarship, and service. A positive recommendation for promotion to associate professor (and tenure, if appropriate) should be made when the candidate meets each of the following conditions:

- (a) satisfactory or better on all criteria,
- (b) very good or excellent on at least two of the following criteria: teaching scholarship and service. For term (non-tenure) faculty, whose special mix of duties allows minimal effort (10% or less) in a criteria, a rating of satisfactory will be sufficient in that area.
- (c) excellent in either teaching or scholarship or, for term (non-tenure) faculty with a majority of effort designated in service, excellence in service.

Examples of satisfactory and excellent performance are provided in Appendix III. Although candidates must achieve a balance between teaching, scholarship and service, the apportionment of teaching, scholarship and service responsibilities should be given consideration in the overall assessment.

(2 Academic Credentials and Experience

The candidate must hold a terminal degree in an appropriate discipline, plus such postgraduate training as to satisfy specialty board eligibility, where such training is appropriate.

(3) Teaching

The candidate should demonstrate contributions in teaching at a local or regional level including contributions to courses, curriculum design, implementation, or assessment, teaching in the clinical setting, continuing medical education or teaching through professional organizations or health care groups. The candidate should have successfully served as a mentor or advisor of students, housestaff, colleagues, or postdoctoral trainees.

(4) Scholarship

The candidate should demonstrate maturity and professional competence in independent scholarship or as a leader in collaborative research that should lead to publication of new knowledge. Publications in refereed journals, books and book chapters, sustained for a number of years, will be used as a guideline for measuring scholarly achievement and growth.

For faculty whose major contribution is in research, funding for research efforts, service to study sections, review committees or invitations to referee or provide editorial duties for national or international scientific journals also reflects the candidate's achievements and recognition in his or her area of expertise.

(5) Service

The candidate is expected to demonstrate creative contributions in administrative and/or clinical service to the SOM, health system, community and profession as outlined under the general guidelines above.

d. Professor

(1) General

Promotion to the rank of Professor (and tenure, if appropriate) is based upon continued achievements in teaching, service, and scholarship, with national and international recognition in at least one of these areas.

- (a) For tenure-track faculty, excellent in either teaching or scholarship, and very good or excellent in the other of those two categories. Also, excellent or very good in service.
- (b) For term (non-tenure) faculty with a majority of effort designated in service, excellence in service, and either very good or excellent in teaching and scholarship. For term (non-tenure) faculty, whose special mix of duties allows minimal effort (10% or less) in a criteria, a rating of satisfactory will be sufficient in that area.

Examples of satisfactory and excellent performance are provided in Appendix III. Although candidates must achieve a balance between teaching, scholarship and service, the apportionment of teaching, scholarship and service responsibilities should be given consideration in the overall assessment.

(2) Academic Credentials and Experience

As a general rule, the candidate should have held appointment as Associate Professor for a minimum of three years.

(3) Teaching

Continued contributions to improvements and innovation in teaching. The candidate should have successfully served as the mentor or advisor of students, housestaff, colleagues, and/or postdoctoral trainees. Examples of national recognition for teaching include editing or writing a textbook, being an invited speaker at national meetings, national awards, publications, lectures or consulting, national impact on teaching methods or assessment, national funding of training grants or instructional programs, participation in national or international committees that develop educational programs or credentialing or certifying examinations.

(4) Scholarship

The candidate should have demonstrated a sustained pattern of research productivity (and/or funding). The candidate's publication record should be distinguished by originality and creativity, including peer-reviewed articles in journals, reviews and book chapters. Funding for research efforts, service to national study sections, review committees or invitation to referee or provide editorial duties for national or international scientific journals also reflects the candidate's achievements and recognition in his or her area of expertise.

The candidate should have demonstrated an appropriate degree of collaboration as evidenced, for example, by the initiation of formal cooperative research ventures with his or her colleagues, or served as a resource for junior faculty within the institution.

National recognition of scholarship must be corroborated by external scientists of outstanding reputation.

(5) Service

The candidate should demonstrate continued contributions in administrative and clinical service and assumed a leadership role in the SOM, health system, community, and/or at a national level. The candidate should actively participate in his or her profession outside of the university. National recognition of service includes awards, publications, lectures, consulting, adoption of new clinical or administrative programs or processes at a national level and participation in national committees, programs, or panels relating to health care or academic medicine.

3.0 **DEFINING APPOINTMENTS**

All faculty appointments shall be either tenured, probationary (tenure-eligible), term (non-tenure), or adjunct (non-tenure). Adjunct (non-tenure) appointments are part-time. All other appointments shall be full-time and either tenured, probationary (tenure-eligible), or term (non-tenure).

A tenured appointment is an appointment that continues until the faculty member either voluntarily leaves the University or is dismissed for cause as specified in Section 11. Tenure is conferred by criteria and procedures established by this document and supplemented by appropriate school and department guidelines. Tenure is granted only at the rank of associate professor or professor.

Full time faculty appointments (tenure/tenure eligible and term) are available to individuals whose employment is through the University or the Hunter Holmes McGuire Veterans Administration Medical Center.

Tenure/tenure-eligible faculty hold appointments in the School of Medicine with responsibilities that include teaching of medical and graduate students, the pursuit of meritorious independent research, securing external funding to support the research effort, training future investigators,

and furthering the teaching and research missions of the School.

A term (non-tenure) appointment is a full-time appointment to the faculty for a specified mix of duties and does not lead to tenure. Term (non-tenure) appointments shall always be at the rank of professor, associate professor, assistant professor, or instructor. Term (non-tenure) faculty members shall hold the same rights and responsibilities specified in the Faculty Handbook as tenured or tenure-eligible faculty except they shall not be afforded tenure or tenure eligibility. When appropriate to the duties assigned to the faculty member holding a term appointment, modifiers as defined by the unit (e.g., Clinical Professor, Visiting Professor, Research Professor or Teaching Professor) should be used. A term (non-tenure) appointment may be for a period of one to five years and may be renewable.

Appointment. A recommendation to appoint a term (non-tenure) faculty member should include the rank and the proposed appointment period. The letter of appointment must stipulate that this is a non-tenure eligible position and the appointment period. Term (non-tenure) faculty supported by restricted funds may be subject to different terms of notification of non-renewal than are specified in 3.0 (below). Conditions and notifications for non-renewal are to be specified in the contract letter for term (non-tenure) appointments.

Term faculty are assigned to one of three tracks depending upon their major responsibilities (Clinician-Educator or Teaching, or Research, which are used as modifiers). In everyday usage, the parenthetical designations of "Term" and "Clinician-Educator or Teaching or Research" may be removed from the titles of Assistant Professors, Associate Professors and Professors holding Non-Tenure appointments, but it must remain in the titles in personnel files, CV, administrative records and other similar documents.

The title of Instructor is appropriate for faculty when there is reasonable expectation that in the normal course of events, there will be progress to the rank of Assistant Professor. Instructors are engaged in teaching, providing a practice or service activity in such a way that it serves as a framework for teaching, and/or supervising students in academic or clinical setting. This appointment is for a term of one year, and renewable.

Faculty in the Clinician-Educator Track have responsibilities that include teaching and preparation of academic courses, furthering the clinical mission of the Health System, advising and mentoring of students and trainees, service in School and University-related activities, and research. Effort devoted to scholarly activities, beyond teaching, is not expected to exceed 10%, unless approved by the Department Chair and Dean.

Faculty in the Teaching Track hold appointments with responsibilities that include teaching and preparation of academic courses, mentoring of students and trainees, and service in School and University-related activities. Effort devoted to scholarly activities beyond teaching is not expected to exceed 10%, unless approved by the Department Chair and Dean. Faculty in the Research Track hold appointments that are dedicated to the support of the School of Medicine's research mission, mentoring of students and trainees, and service in School and University-related activities. Time in service and instructional activities is not expected to exceed 10% effort, unless approved by the Department Chair and Dean.

Adjunct faculty (non-tenure) appointments are granted to faculty members who serve the university part-time and are employed for specific activities. The rights and privileges of adjunct faculty shall be specified in the guidelines of the unit making the appointment, but they shall not participate in the evaluation of full-time faculty members for promotion or tenure. Recommendations for appointments or rank of part-time, non-tenured faculty shall not require academic review outside the school. These personnel actions shall be reviewed using guidelines established by the school and department and recommended by a letter from the department and/or school with the concurrence of the dean.

The Adjunct titles; Adjunct Professor, Adjunct Associate Professor, Adjunct Assistant Professor, or Adjunct Instructor apply to individuals employed outside the University. This appointment connotes a part-time commitment of the individual to the appointing department. For individuals who have a primary appointment in a University unit different from that making the adjunct appointment, the appropriate title is Affiliate Faculty (Affiliate Faculty Appointments Policy and Procedures)

The **Visiting** title is a temporary appointment for less than one year. The prefix "visiting" should be added to the appropriate rank designation.

Certain faculty members may also hold **Administrative** appointments, which are either primary for the duration of the administrative appointment or secondary in that the faculty member's employment is still governed by the Tenure or Term category guidelines. Titles that represent secondary administrative rank include Vice President, Dean, Department Chair, Associate/Assistant Dean, Director and Division Chair, Center or Institute Director.

Unless otherwise specified in the contract letter, when the appointment of a full-time tenureeligible or term (non-tenure) faculty member is not to be renewed, the faculty member shall be notified:

- a. At least three months prior to the expiration of the appointment during the first year at the University;
- b. At least six months prior to the expiration of the appointment during the second consecutive year at the University;
- c. At least 12 months prior to the expiration of the appointment after two consecutive years at the University.

The total period of appointment at the instructor rank shall not exceed seven years.

3.1 Tenured Appointments

Tenure is conferred based on the faculty member's demonstrated capabilities, academic achievement and the University's anticipated long-term academic needs.

A recommendation for a tenured appointment is initiated only by an academic unit of a degree-granting school or college. Typically, recommendations for tenured appointments are initiated in a department of a school, but in schools where recommendations for academic personnel actions are initiated at the school level, recommendations for tenured appointments are initiated at the school level. The guidelines for each academic unit where recommendations for tenured appointments are initiated shall specify written criteria and standards for recommending tenure in that unit. These criteria shall assure that recommendations are based on a record of effectiveness in teaching, scholarship appropriate to the discipline, professional growth and service to the University, the profession or the public. These guidelines shall also specify each unit's procedures for consultation with external evaluators and how the use of external evaluators is reported to the candidate. External evaluators shall be at a rank equal to or higher than the rank for which the candidate is being reviewed.

Expedited Review for Promotion and Tenure: Expedited review may occur outside the regular promotion and tenure cycle in the case of newly hired faculty and, in exceptional cases, for existing faculty.

For newly hired faculty, expedited review may be delegated to the search committee. In such cases, the search committee may function as a tenure review committee, as long as the search committee has the membership stipulated in section 7.1.1. Alternatively, a departmental review committee may be appointed by the department chair using the same guidelines as outlined in the regular promotion and tenure cycle Section 7.11. An appointment may be with tenure if the candidate is currently tenured at an institution of higher education comparable to Virginia Commonwealth University and has met VCU criteria for promotion and/or tenure. The recommendation for tenure by the tenure review committee shall be submitted to the school committee, dean, vice president for health sciences, president and Board of Visitors for approval.

Expedited review of existing faculty (e.g. for the purposes of retention) requires a departmental review committee appointed by the department chair, with the same composition and peer review requirements as that for candidates under consideration in the regular promotion and tenure cycle, Section 7.11. This process may be initiated at any time during the academic year. The recommendation for promotion and tenure by the departmental review committee shall be submitted to the school committee, dean, vice president for health sciences, president and Board of Visitors for approval.

3.2 Probationary (Tenure-Eligible) Appointments

Probationary appointments are granted to faculty members with suitable preparation and experience who are appointed in positions identified by the department and/or school as appropriate for tenured faculty.

The maximum period of probationary service of an assistant professor is typically six academic years. An initial appointment at the rank of professor or associate professor may also be a probationary appointment. The maximum period of probationary service is typically two years as a professor and three years as an associate professor. At the end of such probationary periods, the faculty member must be given an appointment with tenure or a one-year terminal appointment.

3.2.1 Alterations of the Typical Probationary Period.

There are some situations in which alterations of the typical probationary period are warranted and may be established at the time of the initial appointment by the mutual agreement between the faculty member and the department chair and/or dean. Following are situations where an altered probationary period is warranted and can be established:

- 1. Prior service at an academic institution at the rank of assistant professor or above warrants a reduced probationary period.
- 2. Prior service in a discipline unrelated to the present appointment, with the approval of the provost *or vice president for health sciences* warrants a reduced probationary period.
- 3. Prior service while a candidate for a doctoral or equivalent terminal degree at any institution warrants a reduced probationary period.
- 4. Time spent on leave of absence; or
- 5. In exceptional cases, when the special nature of a faculty member's scholarship or special mix of duties warrants an extended probationary period of time to meet the general criteria for tenure.

The agreed upon period of probationary service must be so noted in the notice of appointment. Faculty members reviewed for tenure before the end of their full probationary period shall not be subject to any extraordinary requirements and shall be required to meet the same standards required of them at the end of the full probationary period.

In no case shall an altered probationary period exceed 10 years for an assistant professor, five years for an associate professor, and three years for a full professor. Any altered probationary period must receive approval by the dean and vice-president for health sciences for faculty from the medical campus. Academic units must specify and clearly describe the situations for an altered probationary period in their unit P & T document and apply the criteria for the alterations uniformly for all new faculty hires.

At the end of this agreed upon probationary period, the faculty member must be given an appointment with tenure or a one-year terminal appointment.

3.2.2 Extensions of the Initially Agreed Upon Probationary Period

A tenure-eligible faculty member may request an extension of the probationary period where extenuating circumstances are projected to impede significantly normal progress. Such circumstances might include, but are not limited to, childbirth, adoption, care of terminally ill immediate relative, personal trauma, short-term disability as defined by the Virginia Sickness and Disability Program, natural disaster, major accidents, or other circumstances beyond the control of the candidate. Extensions may also be granted for public or appointed university service. Application for extensions must be made through the unit within one year of the onset of the extenuating circumstances.

The faculty member's prior annual reviews shall be considered in making the decision about the extension of the initial probationary period. In no case shall an extended probationary period be granted based solely on lack of progress toward work plan goals.

Written approval of the extension of the probationary period, by the dean and the vice president for health sciences, is required. All modifications of the normal probationary period shall be entered in writing in the faculty member's personnel file. In no case shall an altered probationary period exceed 10 years for an assistant professor, five years for an associate professor, and three years for a full professor exclusive of extensions for leave or extenuating circumstances described above.

A tenure-eligible faculty member on a probationary appointment may transfer to a term (non-tenure) appointment during enrollment in a University degree program with the concurrence of the vice-president, dean, the departmental chair (where the academic personnel action is initiated at the department level), and the individual concerned. This transfer suspends the period of probationary service, but the faculty member retains other rights of the tenure-eligible appointment including review, the right to timely notice, and a terminal period as provided in 3.0, 3.20 -3.23.

3.2.3 Evaluation of Probation for Tenure-Eligible Faculty

Probationary appointments at the rank of assistant professor shall be reviewed periodically by the academic unit where personnel actions are initiated. The guidelines for each such unit shall specify how this review shall be conducted and the criteria to be used to evaluate progress toward tenure. The guidelines shall specify the frequency of the review(s), how the individual work plan developed in accordance with the Faculty Roles and Rewards Policy shall be incorporated into the review process and how the candidate shall be informed regarding progress toward meeting the standards and criteria for tenure in that unit. The guidelines shall specify the voting rights of the faculty regarding continued probation, terminal reappointment or a recommendation to grant tenure.

The departmental chair, the reviewing faculty of the department, or the candidate may request a review for a recommendation to grant tenure. A faculty member may be

reviewed for tenure once before the normal review occurring at the end of the probationary period. Faculty members reviewed for tenure before the end of their full probationary period shall not be subject to any extraordinary requirements and shall be required to meet the same standards required of them at the end of the maximum probationary period.

A decision to terminate a probationary appointment may be made during any year of the probationary period and need not wait until the end of the normal probationary period.

3.2.4 Linkage

Tenure-eligible assistant professors shall be reviewed in one process, with both promotion and tenure awarded or denied in a single decision.

Tenure-eligible associate professors may be reviewed for tenure alone or for promotion and tenure simultaneously. A decision to deny a promotion does not preclude a decision to award tenure.

3.3 Transition between Tenure Track Positions and Term Appointments.

A tenure-eligible faculty member on a probationary appointment may transfer to a term appointment with the concurrence of the provost or the vice president for health sciences, dean, departmental chair where the academic personnel action is initiated, and the individual concerned. This transfer suspends the period of probationary service, but the faculty member retains rights consistent with other term appointment guidelines.

Requests for a change in position shall be addressed to the department chair. The chair, if approving, shall endorse requests by letters to the dean stating the service or services required of term (non-tenure) candidates and the terms of the appointment. Approval of the request and its terms by the dean and vice-president for health sciences is required. This action is equivalent to resigning the tenure-eligible position

Transfers from term (non-tenure eligible) appointment to tenure track position must follow the VCU Guidelines for Faculty Transfers (see VCU Guidelines for Faculty Track Transfers). All policies outlined in this document apply to tenure track positions that transfer from term appointments.

A term (non-tenure) faculty member is eligible to apply for a tenured or probationary (tenure-eligible) appointment upon termination of an existing term (non-tenure) appointment. If a term (non-tenure eligible) faculty member transfers to the tenure-eligible track upon request by the departmental chair and written consent of the dean and vice president for health sciences, time spent as a term (non-tenure) faculty member is not included in the probationary period for tenure appraisal. Accomplishments as a term (non-tenure) faculty member should be considered.

3.4 Continuing Review of Faculty

All tenured, *tenure-eligible*, and term (non-tenure) faculty shall be evaluated annually using criteria established in the guidelines of the school and/or department. Faculty with term (non-tenure) appointments also shall be evaluated annually using the guidelines of the school and/or department, but taking into consideration the special duties assigned to the individual faculty member's term (non-tenure) appointment. The guidelines for all evaluations shall be consistent with and fully incorporate the guidelines in the Faculty Roles and Rewards Policy.

3.5 Honorary Titles

The president and/or board of visitors may designate the rank of university professor, commonwealth professor, or emeritus professor as deemed appropriate. The voting privileges of faculty holding honorary titles shall be specified in the guidelines of the units where they are appointed.

3.6 Administrative Titles

Faculty may be assigned administrative titles designating administrative responsibilities held in addition to any tenured/tenure-eligible or term (non-tenure) faculty rank concurrently held.

Administrative titles and compensation for administrative responsibilities are held independent of any concurrently held faculty rank. Periodic reviews of a faculty member's performance as an administrator are separate and independent of academic reviews conducted for faculty promotion and/or tenure conducted under this document.

Administrative titles and responsibilities are held for specific terms or at the discretion of a superior administrative officer. Removal from an administrative position does not impair any rights the administrator held as a faculty member.

It is not possible for an administrator to hold tenure in an administrative title, but administrators may earn and hold tenure under concurrently held faculty titles.

3.7 Notice of Appointments

Every faculty appointment or change of status shall be specified in a written notice of appointment issued by or on behalf of the president and/or Board of Visitors to the faculty member.

The notice shall include the following information: rank, academic unit(s) in which the appointment is made, type of appointment (tenured, tenure eligible, term (non-tenure), and adjunct), period of appointment, whether it is part or full time, and salary. If it is a

tenure-eligible position, the notice of appointment shall also include the length of the probationary period and the tenure review date.

Except for increases in rank or salary, and except for action expressly authorized by these regulations, changes in any of the items listed in 3.8 are not permitted during the term of an appointment except with the agreement of the faculty member and the Board of Visitors or its authorized delegate.

3.8 Joint Appointments With Non-University Agencies

A joint appointment for a faculty member with a non-University agency does not in any way fiscally obligate the University for salary or benefits supplied by the non-university agency in the event of a change in the relationship between the faculty member and the non-University agency or between the agency and the University.

Joint appointments with a non-university agency shall require a letter of approval from the university and non-university administrative units involved in the appointment. Such letters shall specify the privileges granted to the faculty member by each unit and the responsibilities and obligations of the faculty member to that unit during the appointment.

Tenured faculty with joint appointments with non-university agencies need no further tenure review if a <u>School of Medicine</u> tenured faculty member employed at a non-university agency becomes a full-time employee of MCV/VCU.

4.0 UNIVERSITY PROMOTION AND TENURE POLICY REVIEW COMMITTEE

4.1 Committee Composition

- a. The University Promotion and Tenure Policy Review Committee shall consist of a minimum of one tenured faculty member from each school, none of whom hold administrative titles at the level of departmental chair or above. No school shall have more than three members serving on the committee at any given time.
- b. To fill a vacancy, the President of Virginia Commonwealth University shall appoint committee members from a list composed of three names jointly recommended by the President of the Faculty Senate and the dean of the school with the vacancy. The president shall designate the chair of the committee.
- c. The members of the committee shall serve staggered three-year terms. In case of vacancies, the president shall make appointments to fill uncompleted terms. Persons who have served a complete three-year term are ineligible for reappointment for three years.

d. Members of the University Promotion and Tenure Policy Review Committee shall not be eligible for concurrent service on a departmental or school promotion and tenure committee while serving on the University Promotion and Tenure Policy Review Committee.

4.2 Committee Duties

The Committee shall:

- a. Formulate and, with the president's approval, issue such general instructions and schedules as may be necessary to coordinate the promotion and tenure process throughout the University.
- b. Receive the written guidelines for promotion and tenure from each unit where academic personnel actions are initiated and from all units that participate in the academic review process in that school. The University Promotion and Tenure Policy Review Committee shall review the guidelines for clarity and conformity with this document and either approve or propose modification of the guidelines.
- c. Perform an in-depth review of all steps of the promotion and tenure process in each school every third year on a rotating basis. This review shall include at least one member of the Promotion and Tenure Policy Review Committee attending, as an observer, at least one meeting of each step of the review process in that school and examining a random sample of the personnel files. All school reviews shall include a written notification to the faculty of the unit under review and invite oral or written comments regarding the application of the guidelines and/or procedures used in the school for appointment, promotion and tenure. The findings concerning the review of each school's promotion and tenure process shall be reported in writing to the president with copies both to the dean and to the appointment, promotion and tenure panel of that school.
- d. Report annually to the president and to the faculty on the operation of the promotion and tenure system, including the number of candidates and the outcomes of their candidacy.

5.0 SCHOOL PROMOTION AND TENURE COMMITTEE

5.1 Committee Election and Term of Office

Each school shall elect a school promotion and tenure committee as provided by the guidelines of the school. The school guidelines may allow the dean to appoint additional committee members following the election of the committee. Only full-time faculty members shall be eligible to vote.

The committee shall consist of no fewer than three faculty members all of whom shall be tenured. None of the committee members shall hold an administrative title at the level of departmental chair or above.

Members of the school promotion and tenure committee shall serve staggered three year terms. Those who have served three consecutive years are ineligible to serve again for one year. In the event committee vacancies should occur, an interim election shall be held to fill the incomplete term. Each year the committee shall elect a chairperson from its members.

5.1.1 School of Medicine Promotion and Tenure Review Committees

Annually the Dean will prepare a slate of candidates for the School Promotion and Tenure Committee. This slate will be submitted to the faculty for approval with write-in candidates allowed. The majority of those voting will constitute approval of the slate. The School of Medicine Committee will consist of seven (7) professors. The chair and three other members will be tenure track faculty and the remaining three will be term (non-tenure) faculty. Members may not serve on any other tenure committee. The composition of the committee will be announced at the first faculty meeting of each school year. This committee will review all tenure and promotion recommendations. They review all of the data submitted by each tenure review committee, including the chair's letter of evaluation. Additional information may be requested from the chair, the Departmental Review Committee or from the candidate, if desired. The School Committee will make an independent recommendation to the dean regarding tenure and promotion for each faculty member it reviews.

Regional Campuses:

In consideration of the special nature of the faculty, the regional campuses of the School of Medicine shall elect a school-wide promotion review committee consisting of 3 members. The School of Medicine Dean shall appoint three additional committee members, either tenured or term, from either their campus or the VCU Campus following the election of the committee. Only fulltime faculty members at the Associate Professor rank or higher shall be eligible to serve. A student/trainee member shall serve ex-officio. A committee member who resides in the same academic unit shall not be a part of deliberations or voting concerning a faculty member from that unit. In order to maintain a quorum, the dean can appoint an "ad hoc" member who meets the above criteria to serve for that deliberation. Four voting members constitute a quorum.

6.0 University Appeal Committee

6.1 Committee Composition

a. The University Appeal Committee shall consist of at least one tenured faculty member from each school, none of whom hold administrative titles at the level of departmental chair or above. No school shall have more than three members serving on the committee at any given time.

- b. To fill a vacancy, the President of Virginia Commonwealth University shall appoint each committee member from a list composed of three names jointly recommended by the President of the Faculty Senate and the dean of that school with the current committee vacancy. The president shall designate the chair of the committee.
- c. The members of the committee shall serve staggered three-year terms. In case of vacancies, the president shall make appointments to fill uncompleted terms. Persons who have served a complete three-year term are ineligible for reappointment for three years.
- d. Members of the University Appeal Committee shall not be eligible for concurrent service on a departmental or school promotion and tenure committee.

6.2 Committee Duties

The Committee shall review all faculty appeals as specified in 9.2 of this document.

The University Appeal Committee shall hold hearings regarding any proposed dismissal for cause of a tenured faculty member as specified in 11.0 of this document.

6.3 Committee Training

The Office of the Provost shall arrange training for newly appointed members of the Appeals Committee about the appeals process and procedure. Newly appointed members of the Appeals Committee are required to attend this training before participating in committee decisions.

7.0 ACADEMIC REVIEW PROCEDURES FOR PROMOTION AND TENURE FOR TENURED, TENURE-ELIGIBLE AND TERM (NON-TENURE) FACULTY MEMBERS

Sections 7.1 to 7.1.3 - Apply only to those units where budgetary and signature authority for Personnel Action Forms has been delegated to the departments of a school.

Sections 7.2 to 7.2.2 - Apply only to those units where budgetary and signature authority for Personnel Action Forms is retained at the school level.

7.1 Promotion and Tenure Initiated at the Departmental Level

Recommendations for promotion and tenure are initiated at the departmental level in those schools with departments having budgetary and signature authority for initiating Personnel Action Forms.

In those units where recommendations for academic personnel actions are initiated at the departmental level, the review process begins at the department. The candidate, with the departmental chair, shall develop a file following the guidelines established by the department. The completed file shall be forwarded to the peer committee to conduct a substantive evaluation of the candidate's record and performance.

7.1.1 Peer Evaluation

The department chair shall form a peer committee following procedures described in the departmental guidelines. For tenured and tenure-eligible faculty members, the peer committee shall be composed of tenured faculty only, with a majority from the department. There must also be at least one tenured faculty member from outside the department. For term (non-tenure) faculty, the committee must have a majority of tenured faculty members, including at least one from outside the department, and at least one term (non-tenure) faculty member. In addition, student/trainee representation is required. The voting status for student/trainee members shall be specified in the departmental guidelines.

In the event that there is an inadequate number of tenured faculty from within the department to meet this criteria, or term (non-tenure) faculty if applicable, school guidelines will specify the procedures to be followed.

School of Medicine Committee Composition. For tenured and tenure-eligible faculty, in the event that there is an inadequate number of tenured faculty within the department to serve on the peer committee, tenured faculty from outside of the department with the requisite expertise will be able to serve. For term (non-tenure) faculty, at least one member of the peer committee must be tenured. The other members can be tenured or term, but all must be at or above the proposed level of promotion and have the requisite expertise. An ad hoc review committee is formed by the department chair, in consultation with the dean, to review a faculty member for promotion or tenure or both. The ad hoc review committee will consist of a total of six (6) with at least two faculty members from within the department where possible, at least two faculty members outside the department or school and a student member (senior medical or graduate student or house officer) who serves with voice but does not vote; there are five voting members. If the candidate teaches primarily outside the School of Medicine then the outside faculty members and the student should be from the school(s) in which the candidate teaches. The dean may recommend suitable individuals to the candidate's chair. The faculty members of an ad hoc review committee must hold an academic rank the same as or higher than the rank proposed for the candidate. If a member of the ad hoc review committee believes that he/she cannot be impartial, he/she should withdraw immediately from the committee by notifying the dean, department chair, and chair of the ad hoc review committee in writing.

The chair shall notify the candidate in writing of the proposed peer committee, and the candidate shall have the right to challenge any member of the committee for cause. Such challenges must be made in writing to the chair within five working days following the date the candidate is notified of the composition of the committee.

Ideally, the candidate and the department chair (in consultation with the dean) will resolve any differences based upon challenges. If not, the school grievance committee will adjudicate the differences. Any challenges submitted in writing will be returned to the candidate upon resolution of the committee structure. Potential committee members will not be notified until membership has been finalized.

The peer committee shall conduct a substantive evaluation of the candidate's record and performance, including all accumulated student evaluations, individualized work plans developed in accordance with the Faculty Roles and Rewards Policy, prior reviews and written internal and external evaluations. Only the peer committee shall solicit and receive external evaluations. External reviewers must be individuals with expertise in the candidate's field or a related scholarly field, be from outside of VCU, and be an individual who can provide an independent review of the candidate's work. Persons who have co-authored publications, collaborated on research, or been institutional colleagues or academic mentors/advisors of the applicant normally should be excluded from consideration as outside evaluators. Reviewers for external evaluations must be solicited both from persons suggested by the candidate and persons suggested by the committee. The file shall list all persons solicited for external review letters, identify each reviewer as either named by the candidate or named by the committee, and identify the relationship of the external reviewer to the candidate. The external evaluator must describe the nature of his/her relationship with the candidate in the review letter. A minimum of 3 external letters must be received for review. All solicited letters received must be included in the file. Each department's guidelines shall specify the details of the use of external evaluations in that unit's academic review process.

All letters from external evaluators will be confidential unless disclosure is required by law. This policy will be conveyed to external reviewers when letters are solicited.

The peer committee shall add a written report to the candidate's file that shall include the numerical results of a secret ballot for or against recommending promotion and/or tenure and the rationale for the recommendation. The peer committee shall forward the file to the chair of the department.

Committee Process

a. The committee quorum will consist of at least four of the members at the informational meetings, but all members must receive minutes of the meeting pertaining to the faculty member under review and respond to the Chairman prior to each subsequent meeting. All members will attend the final meeting and will provide, in writing, a secret vote.

- b. The ad hoc review committee will evaluate the candidate in four categories (credentials and experience, teaching, scholarship and service). The candidate will provide an updated copy of his/her curriculum vitae, the names and addresses of several individuals able to evaluate him/her with respect to each of the above categories, and other relevant documentation such as a teaching portfolio, personal statement, or publications that provide additional information about professional accomplishments in each category relevant to the candidate's mix of duties.
- c. A minimum of three committee meetings will be held: organization, evaluation, final. Members will be given specific charges or subcommittees will be appointed by the chair. Reports by members or subcommittees will be made in writing and presented to and discussed with the entire committee. The committee may require that additional information be sought. Sources of information shall be identified by name. The committee or a subcommittee may interview the department chair or his authorized representative and may interview any other faculty who might have information that would aid the committee in its deliberations. The purpose for such interviews is to obtain information on the areas to be evaluated and not to obtain opinions on the ultimate decision concerning promotion or tenure; those called by the committee will be apprised of this fact. The candidate will be offered the opportunity to appear in person before the final vote.

All proceedings will be confidential and members of the committee are charged not to discuss the proceedings outside the committee meetings, before, during, or after the proceedings.

- d. The ad hoc review committee should examine various sources of input in order to evaluate the teaching performance of the candidate. This will include classroom, laboratory, continuing education, community-engaged teaching, and clinical teaching, where appropriate. It is important for the ad hoc review committee to elicit from students their evaluations with respect to course content, and relevance as well as fairness of assignments and tests. Preparation, organization, communication and availability, and professional and ethical behavior will also be carefully evaluated. Former students are a valuable source of input for evaluating the teaching performance of a faculty member and the ad hoc review committee should make every effort to contact previous students, housestaff, and advisees of the faculty member. The development of educational materials shall be considered in a like manner. Self-instructional packages, slide-tape packages, video tapes, computer-assisted instructional units, computerized videodisc materials, textbooks or chapters and other similar materials shall be evaluated and may be reviewed. Refereed materials (accepted by national educational organizations or professional groups or used at other schools of medicine) will be given greater credit.
- e. The ad hoc committee should contact the candidate's colleagues who are aware of the candidate's contributions to the educational programs of the School(s). If the candidate is responsible mainly for courses taught in other professional schools, responses from appropriate faculty in those schools should be obtained to these queries. Documentation from colleagues, students, and housestaff will be sought.
- f. With respect to scholarship, the ad hoc review committee should solicit letters both from experts in the candidate's research field, who have known the candidate personally, and from several experts who have not been close professional or personal associates of the candidate. Professional association entails both graduate and postgraduate affiliation. Examples of those typically excluded from providing external letters is given earlier in this section. External

evaluations shall be an integral part of the review process. Two or more individuals from outside the University shall be selected by the Departmental Review Committee. These may include persons recommended by the candidate or the department chair. Although the ad hoc review committee prepares the initial list of potential research referees in consultation with the candidate, the ad hoc committee shall subsequently enlarge this list. In all instances of scholarship evaluation, the ad hoc committee will have the ultimate right to select all referees. The candidate should make available upon request his/her entire file of publications. He/she should, in any case, submit three to five for scrutiny by the ad hoc review committee and the referees. The ad hoc review committee should keep a record of the names of individuals contacted (or attempts to contact them), and whether or not responses were obtained. Relevant dates should be noted. The committee must bear in mind that the letters of evaluation regarding the candidate's scholarship constitute a major component of the review process. Thus, every effort must be made to secure appropriate documentation. Although it is difficult to assign a fixed number of letters obtained, the ad hoc review committee should make every attempt to obtain at least five informative letters from experts in the candidate's field. The committee should plan to solicit at least 12 external letters to achieve this outcome.

7.1.2 Department Chair

After receiving the file from the peer committee, the chair of the department shall review the file using the department guidelines as a reference, request supplementary material as needed, add a written recommendation and forward the recommendation, the file, and the peer committee report to the school promotion and tenure committee.

7.1.3 School Promotion and Tenure Committee Evaluation

The school promotion and tenure committee shall receive the file from the chair of the department and review the file using the guidelines of the school as a reference. The committee shall review prior recommendations and enter a written report of its proceedings including the results of a secret ballot for or against recommending promotion and/or tenure and the rationale for the recommendation. The file shall be forwarded to the dean of the school.

7.2 Promotion and Tenure Initiated at the School Level

Recommendations for promotion and tenure are initiated at the school level in those schools having budgetary and signature authority for initiating Personnel Action Forms.

In those units where recommendations for promotion and tenure are initiated at the school level, the review process begins at the school level. The candidate, with the dean, shall develop a file following the guidelines established by the school. The completed file shall be forwarded to the peer committee to conduct a substantive evaluation of the candidate's record and performance.

7.2.1 Peer Evaluation

When applications for promotion and tenure are initiated at the school level, the school promotion and tenure committee shall form a peer review committee following procedures described in the school's guidelines. School guidelines shall specify whether the peer committee is the same as the school committee or whether someone can serve on both the school and peer committee. For tenure-eligible faculty members, the peer committee shall be composed of tenured faculty only, with a majority from the department. There must also be at least one tenured faculty member from outside the department on the committee. For term (non-tenure) faculty members, at least one member of the peer committee must be tenured. The other members can be tenured or term, but all must be at or above the proposed level of promotion and have the requisite expertise. In addition, student representation is required on the peer committees for both tenure-eligible and term (non-tenure) appointed faculty members. The voting status for student members shall be specified in the departmental guidelines.

The peer committee shall conduct a substantive evaluation of the candidate's record and performance, including individualized work plans developed in accordance with the Faculty Roles and Rewards Policy, prior reviews and written internal and external evaluations. Only the peer committee shall solicit and receive external evaluations. External reviewers must be individuals with expertise in the candidate's field or a related scholarly field, be from outside of VCU, and be an individual who can provide an independent review of the candidate's work. Persons who have co-authored publications, collaborated on research, or been institutional colleagues, or academic mentors/advisors of the applicant normally should be excluded from consideration as outside evaluators. Reviewers for external evaluations must be solicited both from persons suggested by the candidate and persons suggested by the committee. The file shall list all persons solicited for external review letters, identify each reviewer as either named by the candidate or named by the committee, and identify the relationship of the external reviewer to the candidate. The external evaluator must describe the nature of his/her relationship with the candidate in the review letter. A minimum of 3 external letters must be received for review. All solicited letters received must be included in the file. Each department's guidelines shall specify the details of the use of external evaluations in that unit's academic review process.

All letters from external evaluators will be confidential unless disclosure is required by law. This policy will be conveyed to external reviewers when letters are solicited.

The peer committee shall add a written report to the candidate's file which shall include the numerical results of a secret ballot for or against recommending promotion and/or tenure and the rationale for the recommendation. The peer committee shall forward the file to the chair of the department.

7.2.2 School Promotion and Tenure Committee Evaluation

The school promotion and tenure committee shall receive the file from the peer committee and review the file using the guidelines of the school as a reference. The committee shall review all prior recommendations and enter a written report of its proceedings including the numerical results of a secret vote for or against recommending promotion and/or tenure and the rationale for the recommendation. The file shall be forwarded to the dean.

8.0 ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW PROCEDURES FOR ACADEMIC PERSONNEL ACTIONS

8.1 The Dean

After receiving a file, the dean shall review the file and add a recommendation addressing the fiscal and programmatic impact of the proposed academic personnel action on the school and forward the original file to the vice president for health sciences. A copy of the file, complete with all reviews and recommendations, but excluding the letters from the external reviewers, shall be given to the candidate.

If the recommendation is not supported by either the peer committee, the chair of the department, or the school tenure and promotion committee, then the dean has the option of returning the file, no more than once, to those review bodies that did not support the proposed personnel action and request reconsideration.

When promotion and/or tenure is not recommended, the dean shall inform the candidate of this decision. At this time the dean shall also give the candidate a copy of the file, without the letters from the external reviewers unless disclosure of these letters is required by law, and notify the candidate of the right to add a written statement to be included in the file. The candidate has 10 working days after notification by the dean to add a statement to the file.

The dean shall forward the original file, containing the candidate's written response and all recommendations and letters to the vice president for health sciences.

8.2 The Provost and the Vice President for Health Sciences

Either the provost or the vice president for health sciences shall receive and review files and add a recommendation addressing the University fiscal and long range planning impact of the proposed academic personnel action.

If the administrative review of the vice-president for health sciences supports promotion and/or tenure, the vice-president for health sciences shall forward the original complete file to the president with that recommendation.

If the administrative review of the vice-president for health sciences does not support promotion and/or tenure, they shall inform the candidate of the decision in writing and notify the candidate of his or her right to appeal to the University Appeal Committee. The candidate has 15 working days from notification to appeal the decision of the vice-president for health sciences. The candidate initiates an appeal by sending a letter to the University Appeal Committee indicating where and how he/she believes the review process has erred.

9.0 APPEAL PROCESS

9.1 Grounds for Appeal

A decision to deny tenure and/or promotion may be appealed by the candidate only on the following grounds:

- 1. The proper procedures, as specified in this document, School/Unit Guidelines, and Department Guidelines were not followed.
- 2. Factually incorrect information was provided by someone other than the candidate, and utilized in the peer review or administrative review process.
- 3. Inadequate consideration of unit criteria or use of impermissible criteria.

9.2 Appeal Process

The University Appeal Committee shall receive all appeals. The candidate must provide a written request to appeal a decision to deny tenure and/or promotion to the chair of the Appeal Committee. The request much specify how proper procedures were not followed, and/or the information that is factually incorrect and/or the inadequate consideration of unit criteria or use of impermissible criteria. The Appeal Committee will review the documents in question and decide if grounds for an appeal exist.

If the Appeal Committee decides that adequate grounds for an appeal exist, then it shall contact those review bodies identified in the denial and extend to them the opportunity to respond to the appeal in writing and/or at a scheduled meeting of the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee should provide a copy of the appeal to the review bodies and request a written response to the appeal and/or extend an opportunity to attend the hearing to respond to the appeal.

The candidate shall have the right to address the Appeal Committee at a time convenient for both candidate and committee members. Such presentations shall be limited in scope to the specific errors in procedure or judgment alleged in the appeal request. New subject areas, not addressed in the appeal, may not be introduced. The candidate may be accompanied by one nonparticipating advisor. The candidate may suggest to the Appeal

Committee the names of additional witnesses to speak at the hearing. The Appeal Committee may decide that it needs limited additional information or testimony and may call appropriate witnesses for a hearing or contact those individuals or review bodies identified in the denial for additional information. It shall confine any such hearings to those questions or issues specified in the appeal. After reviewing the record and hearing testimony, the University Appeal Committee shall take one of the following actions.

After reviewing the record and hearing testimony, the University Appeal Committee shall take one of the following actions.

- a. Vote to support the appellant. When the Appeal Committee votes to support the appellant, the Committee shall forward the file to the president with a letter describing their recommendation with copies to the appellant, the vice-president for health sciences, and the dean. The letter shall include a rationale for the decision and the number of committee members voting for and against the decision.
- b. Vote to deny the appeal. When the Appeal Committee votes to deny any appeal, the Committee shall forward the file to the president with a letter describing their recommendation with copies to the appellant, the vice-president for health sciences, and the dean. The letter shall include a rationale for the decision and the number of committee members voting for and against the decision. In the event of a tie vote, the appeal is considered denied.
- c. Decide that the candidate's file should be reconsidered at a prior level of review for remand to the dean for reconsideration, and forward this recommendation to the president. The Appeal Committee may direct the formation of a new peer committee using the processes specified in section 7.1.1 or 7.2.1. When a new peer committee is ordered or when the addition or deletion of material has altered the file, the file shall go through all previous review steps including new internal letters from all review bodies. New material may be added to the file only by this option.

10.0 THE PRESIDENT AND BOARD OF VISITORS

The president is authorized to recommend faculty promotions and conferral of tenure to the Board of Visitors. Promotion and tenure of the faculty are made under the ultimate authority and with the final approval of the Board of Visitors. (1.4).

When the president does not support the recommendation of a faculty member for promotion and/or tenure, the president shall notify the Board of Visitors, the candidate, the vice president for health sciences, and the dean of this decision in writing. If the president does not support a recommendation for promotion and/or tenure, no further appeal exists within the University.

If the president recommends a faculty member for promotion and/or tenure to the Board of Visitors and the Board of Visitors does not support the recommendation, the president shall notify the candidate, the vice president for health sciences, and the dean of this decision in writing. If the Board of Visitors does not support the recommendation of a faculty member for promotion and/or tenure, no further appeals exist within the University.

11.0 PROCEDURE FOR TERMINATION OF EMPLOYMENT OF TENURED FACULTY MEMBERS

11.1 Reasons for Dismissal

Tenure is designed to protect the academic freedom of individual faculty members who have established themselves during probationary periods. Tenured faculty are expected to continue to strive for excellence in all of their academic and scholarly tasks. Adequate reason for dismissal of a tenured faculty member may be established by a demonstration of any of the following:

- a. Neglect, inability or failure to do the normal and expected satisfactory teaching, research and other services within the areas of presumed professional competence.
- b. Professional incompetence which includes failure to continue scholarly development within the individual's discipline and failure to fulfill University assignments.
- c. Moral turpitude.
- d. Violation of academic or professional ethics.
- e. Unprofessional conduct that significantly adversely affects the functioning of the department, school or university.
- f. Violation of the Virginia Commonwealth University Rules and Procedures, as adopted by the Board of Visitors, provided the faculty member has been found guilty of an offense and a penalty for separation has been assessed pursuant to the Rules and Procedures.
- g. *Bona fide* financial emergency in a department, school or reorganization or termination of programs as defined by established University policies and procedures.³

11.2 Post-Tenure Review

In accordance with 3.4 above, all tenured faculty members, including administrative faculty, are evaluated annually, using the established guidelines of their school and/or department. The annual evaluation examines the faculty member's performance in light of his or her expected contribution to the unit as established previously using the university's Roles and Rewards Policy. The annual evaluation is the core of the university's ongoing post-tenure review process, and it should contain a summary rating of excellent, very good, satisfactory, needs improvement or unsatisfactory. On this scale, the appropriate rating for a tenured faculty member whose overall performance in previous years has been "satisfactory" or better, but whose current overall performance is not satisfactory, is "needs improvement." If a faculty member's previous overall performance was rated "needs improvement" and the current overall performance has not met the conditions for improvement, the appropriate rating is "unsatisfactory."

11.2.1 Post-Tenure Review Panel

Post-tenure review is not a process whereby faculty members are being re-tenured. When a tenured faculty member receives one over-all unsatisfactory annual evaluation, a review panel will be appointed in the following way: Within 15 working days of notification of the need for a panel review, the faculty member and the chair of the department (or the dean where annual review is conducted at the school level) shall each submit lists of five possible panel members to the school's promotion and tenure committee, which will appoint the committee. The panel shall consist of five tenured faculty members. When possible, at least two of the panel members should be from the faculty member's department and at least one should be affiliated with another department. The chair of the school promotion and tenure committee will notify in writing the faculty member, the chair of the department, and the dean of the proposed panel. Both the faculty member and the department chair (or the dean when annual evaluations are conducted at the school level) shall have the right to challenge any member of the panel for cause. Such challenges must be made in writing to the chair of the school promotion and tenure committee within 5 working days following notification of the proposed panel. The school promotion and tenure committee shall make the final decision on panel composition.

The University will emphasize faculty development as the reason for carrying out the post-tenure reviews and will commit the resources necessary to carry this out.

Information for the Review: The chair, and/or the dean, and the faculty member must supply the panel all information pertinent to its task in no more than 20 working days after the appointment of the panel. The information shall include such items as the department's workload policy, any written agreement about the faculty member's role and performance expectations under the university's Roles and Rewards Policy, all annual evaluations and supporting documentation for the period in question, and the faculty member's current vita and statement of activities since the most recent annual evaluation. The chair and/or dean and the faculty member shall have full access to all of the submitted information and an opportunity to comment on or rebut any of the information within 5 working days of being notified that the panel's information is completed. During the panel's deliberations, it has the right to call for testimony from anyone pertinent to the issues, and the chair and/or dean and the faculty member shall have full access to whatever testimony is gathered with ample opportunity to comment on or rebut the testimony.

11.2.2 Assessment of Annual Evaluation

The panel first evaluates the faculty member's performance in light of his or her role in the department. If the panel finds that the faculty member's performance was, in fact, satisfactory during the period in question, it shall issue a report to the chair and the dean delineating the reasons for its conclusion. The review is thus concluded. The dean shall monitor the chair's annual evaluations of the faculty member for the next two years and the panel's report will be used in the dean's evaluation of the chair's performance. Where the annual evaluation is conducted by the dean, the dean's annual evaluations of the faculty member will be monitored by the appropriate vice president and the panel's report will be used by the vice president in evaluations of the dean's performance.

11.2.3 Improvement Plan

If the panel concludes that the faculty member's performance was unsatisfactory during the period in question, the panel, in conjunction with the chair and/or dean and the faculty member will elaborate a two-year improvement plan intended to aid the faculty member to return to a satisfactory level of performance.

11.2.4 Assessing the Improvement Plan

At the end of the first year of the improvement plan, the panel will either recommend continuation of the plan through the second year, modification of the plan, or if it is evident that no progress has been made toward improvement, it shall recommend to the chair and/or dean that they initiate dismissal for cause.

At the end of the two-year plan, the panel will reconvene and again conduct a review of the faculty member's performance, using the provisions of the improvement plan and all information pertinent to the faculty member's performance during the period of the plan. If it finds that the faculty member's performance has been satisfactory, it reports its findings to the chair and the dean, and the review is complete. If the panel finds that the faculty member's performance has been unsatisfactory, it shall recommend to the chair and/or dean that they initiate proceedings for dismissal based on causes (a) or (b) as detailed in Section 11.1

11.3 Dismissal for Cause Procedures

To initiate the termination of a tenured faculty member for just cause, the dean shall inform the faculty member and the vice president for health sciences, in writing of the proposed termination, the specific reasons for it and the effective date of termination. The dean must also inform the faculty member that a request for a hearing of the case by the University Appeal Committee must be initiated within 45 working days. In the absence of such a request, the dean's action becomes final.

The University Appeal Committee shall begin all requested hearings within 30 working days following receipt of a faculty member's request. At least two-thirds of the Committee must be present at each meeting.

The faculty member shall have the opportunity to attend all meetings of the Committee where evidence is received or witnesses are heard. The faculty member shall have the opportunity to cross-examine witnesses, to present evidence and may be accompanied by one non-participating advisor.

A complete record of the hearing shall be maintained and available to the parties involved. The hearing shall be closed to the public, except upon mutual agreement by the faculty member, the dean and the vice-president for health sciences.

The University Appeal Committee shall submit a completed written report to the vice-president for health sciences, within 30 working days after conclusion of the hearings. If two or more Committee members dissent with the majority report, they must submit a written minority report concurrently.

The vice-president for health sciences shall review the University Appeal Committee's findings and recommendations and convey a decision with a rationale in writing to the faculty member and to the University Appeal Committee within 10 working days. If the decision is for dismissal, they shall also inform the president.

The president upon written request shall review a decision for dismissal of the faculty member. However, unless the request is made within 15 working days after the vice-president for health sciences has rendered a decision, the vice-president's decision shall be final and not subject to appeal further or review.

If a review by the president is requested within the 15 working day period, the president shall review the full record of the University Appeal Committee hearing, the Committee's findings and recommendations, and the vice-president's decision and rationale before rendering a decision. The president's decision is final and not subject to further review within the University.

11.4 Dismissal for Financial Emergency, Reorganization and Termination of Programs

The termination of a tenured faculty appointment because of financial emergency or reorganization or termination of programs must follow established University policies and procedures. Such procedures shall protect the tenure rights of the individual faculty member and must include due process and faculty participation.

11.5 Compensation

Tenured faculty members who are terminated according to policies and procedures governing financial emergency, reorganization, and termination of programs have the right to receive their contractual university salaries for one year from the date of notification of dismissal, except that compensation ends upon commencement of full-time employment elsewhere. If the one-year period expires during an academic semester, the vice-president may extend the employment to the end of the semester. Faculty members receiving compensation under these provisions may be required to perform for the University their regular services or services other than those which they have ordinarily performed so long as these services are professional in nature and appropriate to their educational experience and background.

12.0 PROCEDURES FOR REVIEW AND AMENDMENT OF THIS DOCUMENT

Changes in the Faculty Promotion and Tenure Policies and Procedures may be initiated at the request of the president, the Faculty Senate, the University Council or upon petition by 20% of the tenured and tenure-eligible faculty. Upon such a request, the president shall appoint an *ad hoc* faculty committee to review issues and recommendations regarding appointment, promotion and tenure policies and procedures and, if necessary, to recommend modifications.

Consideration of changes that involve the School of Medicine Guidelines (italicized sections only) may be initiated at the request of the dean, the Executive Committee or twenty (20) percent of the tenured and tenure-eligible faculty.

An ad hoc committee to consider the proposed changes and to make recommendations on these and any other changes will be appointed by the dean. Any changes require the approval of a majority of the voting faculty (see School of Medicine Bylaws) and the University Promotions and Tenure Review Committee.

Any members of the University community may raise issues or recommend review of these policies and procedures. They should address such requests to the University president who shall refer them to the Faculty Senate and University Council. Any changes in the Faculty Promotion and Tenure Policies and Procedures of Virginia Commonwealth University shall take effect only after review through the University governance system.

Approved by the Board of Visitors: September 24, 1981

Effective Date: September 24, 1981

Revision approved by Board of Visitors: January 17, 1985

Revision approved by Board of Visitors: November 19, 1987

Effective Date: July 1, 1988.

Revision approved by Board of Visitors: January 20, 1993

Effective Date: July 1, 1993

Revision approved by Board of Visitors: September 19, 1996

Effective Date: July 1, 1997

Revision approved by University Promotion and Tenure Policy Review Committee

Effective Date: March 29, 2004

Revision approved by School of Medicine and University Promotion and Tenure Policy

Review Committee

Effective Date: April 16, 2009

Revision approved by the School of Medicine and University Promotion and Tenure Policy

Review Committee

Effective Date: July 1, 2014

Effective Date: Faculty members who have been at VCU for more than three years prior to approval of this document, will have the choice of being reviewed under the old (2009) or new (2014) policy until 2018, when all faculty will be reviewed under these guidelines. Those being at VCU less than 3 years will automatically be reviewed under the new policy.

¹ The term 'school' will be used throughout the document to refer to a school, college, or major academic unit such as the library or Life Sciences.

² Faculty Roles and Rewards Policy, approved by the Board of Visitors, November 1993.

³Procedures for Declaration of Financial Emergency and Consequent Reduction, Reorganization, or Elimination of Programs Requiring Termination of Faculty Members, approved by the Board of Visitors, July 21, 1983.

APPENDIX I

Timetable for Promotion and Tenure Decision Activities

Dean notified Chairman of impending tenure or promotion review on or before May 1

Departmental Tenure and/or Promotion Committee constituted on/or before July 15

Dean approval of committees returned to departments on/or before July 30

Report of departmental committee and Chairman submitted to the Dean's Office on/or before November 1

Report of the School of Medicine Promotion and Tenure Committee submitted to the Dean's Office on/or before January 15

File, with Dean's letter, submitted to Vice President's Office around February 1

Reviewed and Approved by May VCU Board of Visitors to be effective July 1

Candidates are notified by a letter from the President to their home address after the May VCU BOV meeting

APPENDIX II

Flow Chart for Promotion and Tenure Activities

or Department Chair

Process Initiated by Candidate

Department Prepared
Committee Composition to the Dean

Dean Approves Committee Composition

Chair Appoints Departmental Committee

Candidate May
Challenge Members

Three or More Meetings (Quorum: Four Voting Members)

Department Chair's Review

School of Medicine
P& T Committee

Dean, School of Medicine

Vice-President for Health Sciences

President of University

May Board of Visitors

APPENDIX III

Tables with Examples of Accomplishments to be Considered for Promotion in Each Category

These lists are not intended to be all inclusive. The number and types of contributions should be weighted by the faculty member's mix of duties. See pages 36-40.

Table 1: **Scholarship**: Examples of Accomplishments to be Considered for Promotion to Associate Professor and Professor.

| Satisfactory at Either Associate |
|----------------------------------|
| Professor or Professor |

• Independence from postgraduate or fellowship mentor

- Author on peer reviewed publication(s) of original research, editorial, review articles, case reports and other scholarly work
- Significant role in clinical trials (evaluated by the number of trials, number of patients enrolled, impact of the trial) with or without authorship
- Clinical collaboration with basic or clinical researchers on work that leads to new insights about clinical questions.
- Abstracts and presentations about new techniques or clinical advancements at local, regional, or national meetings
- Local and regional presentations about new teaching materials or techniques such as technical manuals, new course design, web based teaching, using new technology, and tools to assess learning
- Development of trainee oriented educational programs and clinical manuals

Excellent for Promotion to Associate Professor

- Significant publication record as first or senior author in peer reviewed journals describing basic science, clinical, or educational research
- Authorship on publications of the results of clinical trials
- Author of book or book chapters
- Collaboration in a funded multidisciplinary or multi institutional research group
- Evidence of external funding and scholarly productivity
- Ad hoc or regular reviewer for study sections
 or advisory panels
- Service to academic journals as a reviewer or member of editorial board
- Podium presentations at meetings of regional or national professional organizations
- Membership and service to scholarly organizations
- Regional awards and recognition for scholarship
- Participation in the development of and/or authorship of clinical guidelines or diagnostic criteria
- Participation in collaborative public health or clinical initiates through local or regional organizations with published outcomes

Excellent for Promotion to Professor

Continued contributions as listed under Associate Professor and:

- Consistent pattern of federal funding and productivity as principal investigator
- Significant publication record as first or senior author in top rated, peer reviewed journals about basic science, clinical, or educational research
- Author or editor of book or textbook
- Editor or member of editorial board of major journal or textbook
- Member of permanent study sections or advisory panel
- Principle investigator in a funded multidisciplinary or multi institutional research group
- Awards and recognition for scholarship at a national level
- Participation in the development of national clinical guidelines or diagnostic criteria
- Participation in collaborative public health or clinical initiates through national organizations such as patient advocacy groups or quality improvement groups with published outcomes
- Coordinator of scientific program at national level

^{*}This list is not intended to be all inclusive. The number and types of contributions should be weighted by the faculty member's mix of duties.

| | Continued contributions as listed under Associate Professor and: Editor or author of a textbook Development and assessment of new teaching |
|--|--|
| students or trainees including measures of impact on trainees – publication, job placement, etc. Providing satisfactory clinical supervision and training Satisfactory mentoring of students or trainees, Participation in development of questions for national board or national certification examination Serving as a member of an education, curriculum, thesis, or admissions committee Leadership or course director for local or regional conference and CME events Recognition for teaching excellence by receiving departmental, SOM, or regional teaching awards. Receipt of external grants for education program improvement and innovation Obtaining a funded training grant Highly effective director of residency or fellowship training program Committee member of education or workforce and training committee for regional or national professional | methods that are recognized and/or adopted nationally or internationally Significant contributions to teaching at a national or international level Leadership or course director for national or international conference or CME events Sustained excellent performance reviews of teaching, from students, housestaff, and faculty colleagues Recognition for teaching with national or international or university wide teaching awards. Receipt of national grants for education program improvement and innovation Writing a nationally funded training grant Outstanding contributions as director of residency or fellowship training program National role in development of teaching and learning assessment materials for trainees, such as educational programs and certification examinations Chair of education or workforce and training committee for national or international professional organization Chair of organizing committee for national or international CME or educational meetings of professional groups Outstanding contribution to developing, |

| | | 7 | 1 1 |
|----------|--------|--------|------|
| seminars | or iou | rnai c | lubs |

- Documented exceptional teaching skills as an attending physician at or as a preceptor for resident ambulatory clinics or corresponding clinical settings
- Outstanding contribution to developing, evaluating, and improving curriculum that is recognized regionally or nationally
- Member of organizing committee for regional or national meeting of professional groups

- evaluating, and improving curriculum that is nationally or internationally recognized
- Invitations to consult and speak nationally on teaching or learning assessment

^{*}This list is not intended to be all inclusive. The number and types of contributions should be weighted by the faculty member's mix of duties.

Table 3: Service: Examples of Accomplishments to be Considered for Promotion to Associate Professor and Professor.

| Satisfactory at Either Associate Professor | Excellent for Promotion to | Excellent for Promotion to Professor |
|---|--|---|
| or Professor | Associate Professor | |
| Member of University, School, Health System, department, or division committees and other administrative service Service to Profession and Discipline (Local, State, Regional) Consultation and Public Service Provides high quality clinical service Respected as a medical consultant Adherence to institutional performance standards | Significant contributions on University, SOM, VCUHS, MCVP or departmental committees Significant contributions to service to Profession and Discipline (State, Regional) such as member of committee, of local, regional, or national professional organizations data and safety monitoring board grant review committee study section Member of key leadership committees that require a significant time commitment such as: SOM Admissions IRB MCVP board IACUC Regional recognition of Service Outstanding performance in professional pursuits that clearly makes one valuable to the SOM or health system Outstanding administrative accomplishments such as the development, implementation, evaluation or management of specialized or essential programs or initiatives that are recognized regionally | Continued contributions as listed under Associate Professor and: General Chair of University, SOM, VCUHS, MCVH, MCVP, or department committees Leadership in service to Profession and Discipline (National, International) such as Committee chair, member of the BOD or Executive Committee of professional organizations or equivalent Chair of data safety monitoring board Chair, grant review committees, study sections Member of key leadership committees that require a significant time commitment such as SOM Admissions IRB MCVP board IACUC National recognition of service Outstanding performance in professional pursuits that clearly makes one valuable to the SOM or health system Leadership in the development, implementation, evaluation of outstanding administrative programs or initiatives that are nationally recognized |
| | Promoting excellent patient care through | Leadership in the development of cutting |

| other important clinical or administrative roles Development of a multidisciplinary program that offers a unique service and is recognized regionally Development of strong referral relationships with community physicians for unique, successful clinical programs Participation in the development of local, regional or national guidelines or quality improvement efforts National patient safety goals, mortality rates, readmission rates, etc. Nationally recognition for practice improvement measures Key role in the development of unique, administrative contributions as evidenced by awards, recognition of national peers, invitations to speak, teach, consult, or become a member of a national group | efforts to improve compliance with specialty specific outcome measures including regional or national patient safety goals, mortality rates, readmission rates, etc. Implementation of practice improvement measures Innovation Significant contributions in the management of clinical services: medical director or in other important clinical or administrative edge clinical programs that are national recognized for innovation, quality, efficiency, or effectiveness, or lead to improved outcomes or access and are nationally recognized Instrumental role at the health system for achieving nationally recognition for safe quality, or effectiveness as evaluated by clinical outcome measures including national patient safety goals, mortality |
|--|--|
|--|--|

^{*}This list is not intended to be all inclusive. The number and types of contributions should be weighted by the faculty member's mix of duties.

APPENDIX IV

Teaching Portfolio

For a guide to the development of a teaching portfolio, go to SOM Faculty Affairs website at: http://www.medschool.vcu.edu/facultyaffairs/career_dev/portfolio.html

APPENDIX V

References

Glassick CE. Boyer's expanded definitions of scholarship, the standards for assessing scholarship, and the elusiveness of the scholarship of teaching. Acad Med.2000 Sep; 75(9):877-80.

Aday LA, Quill BE. A framework for assessing practice-oriented scholarship in schools of public health. J Public Health Manage Pract. 200 Jan;6(1):38-46.

Shapiro ED, Coleman DL. The scholarship of application. Acad Med. 2000 Sep;75(9):895-8.